

# Statutory report on corporate governance

cf. section 107 b of the Danish Financial Statements Act

2015

# William Demant Holding 2015 Statutory report, cf. section 107 b of the Danish Financial Statements Act

William Demant Holding A/S is a Danish Company listed on Nasdaq Copenhagen and included in the OMX C20 Cap.

Pursuant to the Danish Financial Statements Act section 107 b, and section 4.3 of "Rules for issuers of shares" laid down by Nasdaq Copenhagen, Danish companies listed on Nasdaq Copenhagen are covered by a code on corporate governance and shall give a statement on how they address the recommendations issued by the Committee on Corporate Governance.

This statutory report on corporate governance published on the Company's website is part of Management's commentary in the annual report and covers the financial year **1 January - 31 December 2015**. Our corporate governance report is not comprised by the auditors' statement in connection with Management's Commentary in the annual report.

The report covers the following areas:

- 1. A description of the Company's management structure
- 2. A description of the Company's internal control and risk management systems in connection with the financial reporting process
- 3. A review of how the Company addresses the corporate governance recommendations

#### 1. Management structure

William Demant Holding has a two-tier management structure consisting of a Board of Directors and an Executive Board.

The annual general meeting is the supreme authority in all company matters. The Company has one ultimate shareholder, the Oticon Foundation, which directly or indirectly holds between 55-60% of the shares and voting rights at the annual general meeting.

#### **Board of Directors**

Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to staff-elected Board members. At publication of the Annual Report 2015, the Company's Board of Directors has seven members: Four elected by the shareholders and three elected by our employees in Denmark. The members elected by the shareholders are elected for one year at a time and members elected by staff for four years at a time. After each annual general meeting, the Board of Directors appoints a chairman and a deputy chairman from among its members.

The Board of Directors is responsible for the overall strategic management as well as the financial and managerial supervision of the Company, and it evaluates the work and performance of the Executive Board. The Board of Directors' duties and responsibilities are set out in its rules of procedure.

#### **Board committees**

For the present, the Board of Directors of William Demant Holding has established an audit committee and a nomination committee.

#### **Executive Board**

The Company's Executive Board presently consists of President & CEO Niels Jacobsen; Chief Operating Officer Søren Nielsen and Chief Financial Officer René Schneider.

The Executive Board has the overall responsibility for the activities and the day-to-day operations of the Company. The tasks, responsibilities and scope of the Executive Board as well as the guidelines in respect of the delegation of duties between the Board of Directors and the Executive Board are stipulated in the Instructions for the Executive Board.

# 2. The Company's internal control and risk management systems

The Board of Directors and the Executive Board are in an ongoing dialogue on the identification, description and handling of the business risks to which the Company may be exposed. Any material risks are described once a year in the Annual Plan and Budget which is approved by the Board of Directors.

In the chapter on risk management activities in our annual report, we provide a review of the main elements of our internal control and risk management systems **in connection with the financial reporting process**. The text below shows the relevant section of the William Demant Holding Annual Report 2015:

## Financial reporting process and internal control

Once a year, we carry through a very detailed planning and budgetary process, and any deviations from the plans and budgets resulting from this process are carefully monitored month by month. In terms of sales and costs, month-by-month development is very similar, so due to the repetitive nature of our business, deviations will normally become visible fairly quickly. To ensure high quality in the Group's financial reporting systems, the Board of Directors and Executive Board have adopted policies, procedures and guidelines for financial reporting and internal control to which the subsidiaries and reporting units must adhere, including:

- Continuous follow-up on results achieved compared to the approved budgets
- Policies for IT, insurance, cash management, procurement etc.
- Reporting instructions as well as reporting and finance manuals

The responsibility for maintaining sufficient and efficient internal control and risk management in connection with financial reporting lies with the Executive Board. The Board of Directors has assessed the Group's existing control environment and concluded that it is adequate and that there is no need for setting up an internal audit function.

## 3. Corporate Governance

William Demant Holding A/S is subject to the rules governing the recommendations for corporate governance and is required to give a yearly statement on how we adhere to the recommendations laid down by the Committee on Corporate Governance, <a href="www.corporategovernance.dk">www.corporategovernance.dk</a>. The recommendations are also part of the disclosure requirements laid down by Nasdaq Copenhagen.

William Demant Holding's Board of Directors and Executive Board consider corporate governance an ongoing process and regularly assess to which extent the Company should comply with the recommendations and whether the recommendations give rise to amendments to the Company's articles of association or managerial processes.

The report has to be prepared according to the "comply-or-explain" principle, meaning that we must either follow the recommendations or explain why we have chosen not to follow a recommendation and what we do instead. The Board takes a stand on each individual recommendation and has chosen to provide relevant and supplementary explanations also to the recommendations that we follow. The few cases where we have chosen to deviate from a recommendation are well-founded, and we explain what we do instead. To further increase transparency we have decided to provide supplementary and relevant information, even when we follow the recommendations.

The complete report is provided in the schedule below.

1 March 2016

	Recommendation	Compli-	
		ance	
Con	nmunication and interaction by the company	with its in	vestors and other stakeholders
. Dia	logue between company, shareholders and other stak	eholders	
.1	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of directors ensure ongoing dialogue between the company and its shareholders in order for the shareholders to gain relevant insight into the company's potential and policies, and in order for the board of directors to be aware of the shareholders' views, interests and opinions on the company.	We comply	William Demant Holding wants to maintain a high level of information to all existing and potential shareholders and communicates currently with its shareholders and investors at the annual general meeting and through shareholder meetings, invest presentations, e-mail, telephone, website, webcas capital market days, the annual report and compar announcements etc.  We have set up an actual Investor Relations function (IR) in the Company, and Management also frequer
			participates in IR-related arrangements. By way of ample, we typically hold about 400 individual mee ings per year where existing and potential shareho ers are able to ask questions to Company represen tives. Often meetings are held at one of our locatio where stakeholders can get a deeper insight into h we work.
			IR work is carried out in an open dialogue, and all stakeholders are able to get a response to their questions from a member of Company Management, provided such response can be given without coming in conflict with Danish law, including the rules governing Nasdaq Copenhagen.
			The primary form of communication is Company an nouncements to Nasdaq Copenhagen, including an nual and interim reports. Immediately after publication, they are uploaded on our website, <a href="www.de-mant.com">www.de-mant.com</a> .
			All registered shareholders are offered the possibito receive an e-mail upon the Company's publication of the annual report, interim report and other company announcements. Investor presentations will available on our website at the same time as or im diately after such presentation is/has been made.
			On a current basis, Management gives feedback to the Board of Directors on the Company's IR work to enable the Board to get an insight into the dialogu with our shareholders.
			Management and the IR function may be contacted through our website.
.2.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of directors adopt policies on the company's relationship with its stakeholders, including shareholders and other investors, and that the board ensures that the interests of the shareholders are respected	We comply	The Company has identified a number of specific stakeholders, comprising our customers, end-user shareholders, investors, employees, society, suppers and other business partners.
	in accordance with company policies.		The Board of Directors has approved the Company' policies on relations with all important stakeholde and ensures on a current basis that good and constructive relations exist with such stakeholders. So

structive relations exist with such stakeholders. Some

of these policies include a Code of Conduct governing relations with our suppliers and a Business Ethics Policy in relation to our staff and their interaction with customers and other stakeholders. Selected policies and documents are available on our website. As part of its CSR work, the Company has adopted policies on its corporate social responsibility. In 2009, we stated 14 principles, describing Management's overall responsibility for and approach to business ethics, the environment, human rights and corporate governance. In 2010, the Company furthermore joined the UN's Global Compact and the reporting system for CO2 emission through the Carbon Disclosure Project. You can read more on our website under CSR. The Company is more than 110 years old and has a solid foundation for our activities and our interaction with stakeholders. Since its foundation, the Company has been committed to disseminating knowledge of and remedial treatment of hearing impairment. The Company's managerial policy builds on high standards of integrity, quality and fairness in its business conduct, including responsibility for the environment and the surrounding society. The Company strives to sell its products in the most professional manner, as a result of which we have invested heavily in the distribution of Group products. Products are becoming better and better, but also more and more sofisticated, as a result of which training and education play an increasingly important role. We arrange targeted training and educational sessions when new products are introduced to enable the Company's customers to provide end-users with the best advice and service. Through relevant industry and user organisations, William Demant Holding also contributes funds, resources and know-how to disseminate knowledge of hearing impairments and of their remedial treatment. It is the Company's objective to safeguard a high and consistent level of information to stock market players with a view to forming the basis of a fair pricing of the Company's shares. This flow of information will contribute to reducing the Company-specific risk associated with investing in Company shares and thus reducing the Company's cost of capital. We do not 1.1.3. The Committee recommends that the company For several years, listed companies in Denmark have publish quarterly reports been subject to rules, requiring them to publish quarterly reports or quarterly statements. We do not believe that quarterly reports will promote a better understanding of our activities, so for the past many years, we have published quarterly trading statements without actual figures, but with updates on the Group and its financial position and results in relation to the full-year guidance, including important events and transactions in the period under review.

			Following a change to the Danish Securities Trading Act in November 2015, it is no longer a statutory requirement to publish quarterly reports or quarterly statements"). However, we still believe that trading statements after the first and the third quarters provide the market with valuable information, so we will continue to publish such statements, even though this is no longer a statutory requirement.  **Note that contrary to this, the Committee on Corporate Gov-
			ernance has maintained their recommendation on quarterly reports.
1.2 Ger	neral meeting		
1.2.1	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that when organizing the company's general meeting, the board of directors plans the meeting to support active ownership.	We comply	The Company's physical setting allows us to hold annual general meetings in-house, and in the opinion of the Board, it furthers active ownership that the Company's shareholders are able to meet our Board members and Executive Board face-to-face and visit our head office.  In connection with the general meeting, all relevant
			documents will be uploaded on our website prior to and after the general meeting, and the actual meeting will also be webcast.
1.2.2.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that proxies granted for the general meeting allow shareholders to consider each individual item on the agenda.	We comply	Shareholders in the Company are entitled to attend the Company's general meetings by proxy or accompanied by an adviser. Written proxies can either be given to the Board of Directors or to a third party, and the Company will place a written or electronic proxy form at the disposal of the shareholders.
			Proxies are prepared in such a manner that they allow shareholders to record voting instructions for each individual item on the agenda.
1.3 . Ta	keover bids		
1.3.1	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company set up contingency procedures in the event of takeover bids from the time that the board of directors has reason to believe that a takeover bid will be made. According to such contingency procedures, the board of directors should not without the acceptance of the general meeting, attempt to counter the takeover bid by making decisions which in reality prevent the shareholders from deciding on the takeover bid themselves.	We comply	The Company's main shareholder, the Oticon Foundation, directly or indirectly holds 55-60% of the share capital and votes in William Demant Holding A/S. The Oticon Foundation has a statute according to which the Foundation is precluded from reducing its shareholdings to less than 50% through the sale of shares. This means that a takeover cannot be carried through, which would be communicated to a potential bidder.  The rules of procedure for the Board of Directors include a short procedure to be followed, should such a situation occur.
2. Tas	ks and responsibilities of the board of direct	ors	
2.1. Ove	erall tasks and responsibilities		
2.1.1.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that at least once a year the board of directors take a position on the matters related to the board's performance of its responsibilities.	We comply	The Board of Directors is responsible for the overall strategic management as well as the financial and managerial supervision of the Company. The Board's duties and responsibilities are set out in its rules of procedure which are revised once a year. In order to ensure that the Board's tasks are planned and performed in a timely manner, such tasks are predetermined in an annual cycle (annual wheel) for the Board of Directors.
2.1.2.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that at least once a year the board of directors take a position on the	We comply	The Company's overall goals, strategies, action plans and investment policy, including their financial scope

	overall strategy of the company with a view to ensuring value creation in the company.		are presented in a comprehensive Annual Plan and Budget, which will be discussed and approved by the Board of Directors. Substantial changes to the plans made or strategies laid down will, however, not await the annual discussion by the Board, but will be discussed on a current basis, should the need arise.
2.1.3.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of directors ensure that the company has a capital and share structure ensuring that the strategy and long-term value creation of the company are in the best interest of the shareholders and the company, and that the board of directors presents this in the management commentary on the company's annual report and/or on the company's website.	We comply	At regular intervals, the Board of Directors evaluates whether the Company's capital and share structures are in the best interest of the shareholders and support the Company's strategy and value creation. The Board of Directors and the Executive Board will at regular intervals review the share liquidity.  The Company uses its share buy-back programme to channel the Group's excess cash flow back to the shareholders. In line with the Group's normal practice, our share buy-back will on a current basis be adjusted to the extent of our acquisitions and investments.  In the Company's annual report, we account for the decisions made on the Company's share and capital
2.1.4.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of directors annually review and approve guidelines for the executive board; this includes establishing requirements for the executive board on timely, accurate and adequate reporting to the board of directors.	We comply	The duties, responsibilities and scope of the Executive Board as well as the guidelines in respect of the delegation of duties between the Board of Directors and the Executive Board are stipulated in the Instructions for the Executive Board. Once a year, the Board of Directors will assess and – if required – revise the instructions.  Good communication routines have been established between the Executive Board and the Board of Directors including efficient reporting practices.  The Board of Directors' supervisory control with the Executive Board is primarily exercised through the reports received from the Executive Board, including financial data, through entries into the audit protocols and through discussions with the Executive Board at Board meetings, committee meetings and an ongoing dialogue with the Chairman of the Board.
2.1.5.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that at least once a year the board of directors discuss the composition of the executive board, as well as developments, risks and succession plans.	We comply	The Chairman of the Board evaluates on a continuous basis and reviews at least once a year with the other Board members whether the Company is properly organised and managed in a satisfactory manner, including a review of the composition and development of the Executive Board.
2.1.6.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that once a year the board of directors discuss the company's activities to ensure relevant diversity at management levels, including setting specific goals and accounting for its objectives and progress made in achieving the objectives in the management commentary on the company's annual report and/or on the website of the company.	We comply	In continuation of recent years' initiatives, recommendations and legislation on diversity at management levels, Group companies are focussing more strongly on promoting women in executive positions. To this end, the Group in 2012 established a diversity policy as well as specific initiatives aimed at ensuring equal terms between the genders when it comes to both employment and promotion. Measurements are launched on a yearly basis to follow up on the development.

The Committee recommends appointing a vice-chairman of the board of directors who will assume the responsibilities of the chairman in the event of the chairman's absence, and who will also act as effective sparring partner for the chairman.  The Committee recommends ensuring that, if the board of directors, in exceptional cases, asks the chairman of the board of directors to perform special operating activities for the company, including briefly participating in the day-to-day management, a board resolution to that effect be passed to ensure that the board of directors maintains its independent.	We comply We comply	The Board of Directors has elected a Deputy Chairman to head Board meetings, should the Chairman be prevented from participating. The Chairman and the Deputy Chairman regularly discuss the work of the Board of Directors.  This recommendation is incorporated into the rules of procedure of the Board of Directors, should a situation arise that necessitates such action.
chairman of the board of directors who will assume the responsibilities of the chairman in the event of the chairman's absence, and who will also act as effective sparring partner for the chairman.  The Committee <b>recommends</b> ensuring that, if the board of directors, in exceptional cases, asks the chairman of the board of directors to perform special operating activities for the company, including briefly participating in the day-to-day management, a board resolution to that effect be passed to en-	comply	to head Board meetings, should the Chairman be prevented from participating. The Chairman and the Deputy Chairman regularly discuss the work of the Board of Directors.  This recommendation is incorporated into the rules of procedure of the Board of Directors, should a situa-
board of directors, in exceptional cases, asks the chairman of the board of directors to perform special operating activities for the company, including briefly participating in the day-to-day management, a board resolution to that effect be passed to en-		procedure of the Board of Directors, should a situa-
pendent, overall management and control function. Resolutions on the chairman's participation in day- to-day management and the expected duration hereof should be published in a company an- nouncement.		
position and organization of the board of di	rectors	
The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of directors annually accounts for  the skills it must have to best perform its tasks,  the composition of the board of directors, and  the special skills of each member.	We comply	The Board of Directors has laid down which skills and professional experience the Board of Directors should possess to support the Company's long-term growth. At least once a year, the Board will assess whether the development of the Company would call for new qualifications on the Board.  A listing of such skills is available on our website as well as the composition of the Board of Directors in office at any time and the skills and experience of each Board member.  The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report.
The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the selection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a thoroughly transparent process approved by the overall board of directors. When assessing its composition and nominating new candidates, the board of directors must take into consideration the need for integration of new talent and diversity in relation to age, international experience and gender.	We comply	The Board of Directors has established a nomination committee. According to the charter of the committee one of its duties is to nominate and recommend candidates to the Board of Directors. Before recommending new candidates to the annual general meeting the entire Board of Directors will thus have the opportunity to discuss the new candidate.  In the composition of the Board of Directors, focus is on ensuring the necessary skills and experience that must be present in order for the Board to be able to perform its duties in the best possible way.
	Resolutions on the chairman's participation in day- to-day management and the expected duration hereof should be published in a company an- nouncement.  Iposition and organization of the board of di position  The Committee recommends that the board of directors annually accounts for  • the skills it must have to best perform its tasks, • the composition of the board of directors, and • the special skills of each member.  The Committee recommends that the selection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a thoroughly transparent process approved by the overall board of directors. When assessing its composition and nominating new candidates, the board of directors must take into consideration the need for integration of new talent and diversity in relation to age, international experience and gender.	The Committee recommends that the selection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a thoroughly transparent process approved by the overall board of directors. When assessing its composition and origination and nominating new candidates, the board of directors must take into consideration the need for integration of new talent and diversity in relation to age, international

			In the annual evaluation of the Board of Directors'
			work, each member has the opportunity to comment
			on the collective competences of the Board.
			As far as diversity is concerned, great importance
			is attached to international experience and manage-
			ment experience from listed companies. On nomina-
			tion of new candidates, the appointment of female
			candidates will in future carry particular weight. How-
			ever, the professional qualifications of the candidates
			will always outweigh other considerations.
3.1.3.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that a description of	We	The notice convening a general meeting includes a
J.1.J.	the nominated candidates' qualifications, including	comply	description of the skills and experience of any candi-
	information about the candidates'	compty	dates proposed for re-election as well as information
	other executive functions, e.g. memberships in		on other executive offices in Danish and foreign com-
	executive boards, boards of directors, and su-		panies.
			panies.
	pervisory boards, including board committees		In the count that the Deemd of Divertons were considered
	in foreign enterprises, be accompanied by the		In the event that the Board of Directors proposes new
	notice convening the general meeting when elec-		candidates for the Board, a fairly extensive curriculum
	tion of members to the board of directors is on		vitae will be presented to the general meeting to-
	the agenda.		gether with the proposal. All information on the pro-
	<ul> <li>demanding organizational tasks, and informa-</li> </ul>		fessional qualifications of such candidate, which is
	tion		deemed significant in respect of the Company, includ-
	<ul> <li>about whether candidates to the board of direc-</li> </ul>		ing any international experience, will be included in
	tors are considered independent.		such presentation.
			As regards both new and renominated candidates for
			the Board of Directors, the recommendation to the
			shareholders will include information on whether the
			candidate is considered independent.
3.1.4.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company's	We	In compliance with the Company's Articles of Associa-
	articles of association stipulate a retirement age	comply	tion, a Board member must resign from the Board no
	for members of the board of directors.		later than at the first ordinary general meeting follow-
			ing his or her 70 <sup>th</sup> birthday.
3.1.5.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that members of the	We	In compliance with the Company's Articles of Associa-
	board of directors elected by the general meeting	comply	tion, Board members elected by the shareholders are
	be up for election every year at the annual general		elected for one year at a time.
	meeting.		
3.2. Ind	lependence of the board of directors		
3.2.1.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that at least half of the	We	With the present composition of the Board of Direc-
	members of the board of directors elected by the	comply	tors, we comply with the recommendation.
	general meeting be independent persons, in order		, , ,
	for the board of directors to be able to act inde-		Of the four Board members elected by shareholders,
	pendently of special interests. To be considered in-		two are independent, whereas two (Chairman and
	dependent, this person may not:		Deputy Chairman) are not considered independent.
	<ul> <li>be or within the past five years have been mem-</li> </ul>		The reasons for their not being considered independ-
	ber of the executive board, or senior staff mem-		ent are provided in the Company's annual report and
	ber in the company, a subsidiary undertaking or		on our website.
	an associate,		on our website.
	<ul><li>within the past five years, have received larger</li></ul>		
	emoluments from the company/group, a subsid-		
	iary undertaking or an associate in another ca-		
	pacity than as member of the board of directors,		
	<ul> <li>represent the interests of a controlling share-</li> </ul>		
	holder,		
	within the past year, have had significant busi-		
	ness relations (e.g. personal or indirectly as part-		
	ner or employee, shareholder, customer, sup-		

	<ul> <li>companies with corresponding connection) with the company, a subsidiary undertaking or an associate.</li> <li>be or within the past three years have been</li> </ul>		
	<ul><li>employed or partner at the external auditor,</li><li>have been chief executive in a company holding</li></ul>		
	cross-memberships with the company, <ul><li>have been member of the board of directors for</li></ul>		
	<ul> <li>have been member of the board of directors for more than 12 years, or</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>have been close relatives with persons who are</li> </ul>		
	not considered independent.		
	mbers of the board of directors and the number of other The Committee recommends that each member of	er executive f	Prior to nominating a new Board member, the Chair-
3.3.1.	the board of directors assesses the expected time commitment for each function in order that the member does not take on more functions than he/she can manage satisfactorily for the company.	comply	man will make sure that such member has the time required to perform his or her duties on the Board of Directors and in their ongoing dialogue with the Chairman, the individual Board members will consider whether they have the necessary time to perform their duties as Board members.
3.3.2.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the management commentary, in addition to the provisions laid down by legislation, includes the following information about the members of the board of directors:  • the position of the relevant person, • the age and gender of the relevant person, • whether the member is considered independent, • the date of appointment to the board of directors of the member, • expiry of the current election period, • other executive functions, e.g. memberships in executive boards, boards of directors, and supervisory boards, including board committees in foreign enterprises and • demanding organizational tasks, and • the number of shares, options, warrants and similar in the company, and other group companies of the company, owned by the member, as well as changes in the portfolio of the member of the securities mentioned which have occurred	We comply	The annual report and our website include a list of all Board members with all relevant details as well as information on whether the individual Board member is considered independent, when the individual member joined the Board of Directors, whether they were re-elected and that their election period is one year (four years for staff-elected Board members).  At year-end 2015, all Board members were shareholders in the Company. The shareholding of each Board member and any changes in such holding in the past year will appear from our annual report.
Da	during the financial year.		
	ard committees	We	At the end of 2015, the Company had established an
3.4.1.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company publish the following on the company's website:	we comply	At the end of 2015, the Company had established an audit committee and a nomination committee.
	<ul><li>the terms of reference of the board committees,</li><li>the most important activities of the committees</li></ul>		The terms of reference of these committees as well as
	during the year, and the number of meetings		information on their members, the number of meet-
	held by each committee, and		ings held etc. is available on the Company's website.
	<ul> <li>the names of the members of each committee, including the chairmen of the committees, as</li> </ul>		
	well as information on which members are inde-		
	pendent members and which members have special qualifications.		
3.4.2.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that amajority of the members of a board committee be independent.	We do not comply	All four Board members elected by the shareholders are members of the audit committee. Two of them are
	members of a board committee be independent.	сотгрту	considered independent, whereas two (Chairman and Deputy Chairman) are not considered independent.  Thus, half, but not the majority, of audit committee

			members are independent. The Chairman of the audit committee is independent.
			None of the nomination committee members are independent.
3.4.3.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of directors set up a formal <u>audit committee</u> composed such that	We comply	William Demant Holding's Board of Directors has established an audit committee.
	<ul> <li>the chairman of the board of directors is not chairman of the audit committee, and</li> <li>between them, the members should possess such expertise and experience as to provide an updated insight into and experience in the finan-</li> </ul>		The Board of Directors appoints the Chairman of the audit committee, who is independent and who will not at the same time be the Chairman of the Board of Directors.
	cial, accounting and audit aspects of companies whose shares are admitted to trading on a regu- lated market.		The audit committee members all have substantial financial knowledge and experience in accounting and auditing matters.
3.4.4.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, prior to the approval of the annual report and other financial reports, the audit committee monitors and reports to the board of directors about:  significant accounting policies, significant accounting estimates, related party transactions, and uncertainties and risks, including in relation to the outlook for the current year.	We comply	Audit committee meetings coincide with ordinary Board meetings. Prior to each meeting, a separate agenda is issued with fixed items and special reports predetermined in a full-year wheel chart for the audit committee, covering among other things accounting policies, significant accounting estimates, related party transactions as well as uncertainties and risks.
3.4.5.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the audit committee:  annually assesses the need for an internal audit, and in such case, makes recommendations on selecting, appointing and removing the head of the internal audit function and on the budget of the internal audit function, and  monitor the executive board's follow-up on the conclusions and recommendations of the internal audit function.	We comply	The Company has no internal audit function, but uses internal control systems that are currently monitored by the Board of Directors. Our auditors also assess the internal control systems in their communication with our Board of Directors.  As part of the tasks of the audit committee, the committee will currently and at least once a year assess any need for an internal audit function. If such need should arise, the audit committee would provide a recommendation and framework for such internal audit function
3.4.6.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of directors establish a nomination committee chaired by the chairman of the board of directors with at least the following preparatory tasks:  describe the qualifications required by the board of directors and the executive board, and for a specific membership, state the time expected to be spent on having to carry out the membership, as well as assess the competences, knowledge and experience of the two governing bodies combined,  annually assess the structure, size, composition and results of the board of directors and the executive board, as well as recommend any changes to the board of directors,  annually assess the competences, knowledge and experience of the individual members of management, and report to the board of directors in this respect,  consider proposals from relevant persons, including shareholders and members of the board	We comply	The Board of Directors of William Demant Holding has set up a nomination committee.  The Chairman of the Board of Directors is Chairman of the nomination committee.  The composition of the committee and its terms of reference are published on our website.  Nomination committee meetings are held as often as the Chairman finds it necessary however, at least once a year.

	of directors and the executive board for candi-		
	dates for the board of directors and the execu-		
	tive board, and		
	<ul><li>propose an action plan to the board of directors</li></ul>		
	on the future composition of the board of direc-		
	tors, including proposals for specific changes.		
3.4.7.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of	We do not	The Board of Directors has adopted a very simple and
	directors establish a remuneration committee with	comply	clear remuneration policy for the Board members as
	at least the following preparatory tasks:		well as for the Executive Board. At present, the remu-
	<ul> <li>to recommend the remuneration policy (includ-</li> </ul>		neration policy does not include any incentive pay or
	ing the general guidelines for incentive-based re-		bonus schemes or other variable components.
	muneration) to the board of directors and the ex-		
	ecutive board for approval by the board of direc-		Proposals to change the adopted policy or the ap-
	tors prior to approval by the general meeting,		proved fee are discussed by the entire Board of Direc-
	<ul> <li>make proposals to the board of directors on re-</li> </ul>		tors and would be submitted to the general meeting
	muneration for members of the board of direc-		for approval as required by law and recommended by
	tors and the executive board, as well as ensure		the committee on corporate governance (see 4.1.1.)
			the committee on corporate governance (see 4.1.1.)
	that the remuneration is in compliance with the		Due to the year cimple remuneration neligible Deard
	company's remuneration policy and the assess-		Due to the very simple remuneration policy the Board of Directors has determined that a remuneration com-
	ment of the performance of the persons con-		
	cerned. The committee should have information		mittee is not required.
	about the total amount of remuneration that		
	members of the board of directors and the exec-		
	utive board receive from other companies in the		
	group, and		
	<ul> <li>recommend a remuneration policy applicable for</li> </ul>		
	the company in general.		
3.4.8.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration	We	In questions relating to remuneration, our Board of
	committee do not consult with the same external	comply	Directors and our Executive Board would not use
1			
	advisers as the executive board of the company.		the same external advisers, should such a situation
3.5. Eva			the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.
<b>3.5. Eva</b> 3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.		the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.
	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Sluation of the performance of the board of directors as	nd the execut	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur. ive board
	advisers as the executive board of the company.  aluation of the performance of the board of directors as  The Committee recommends that the board of	nd the execut	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation pro-
	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors	nd the execut	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.
	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collabora-	nd the execut	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of
	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evalu-	nd the execut	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports
	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evalua-	nd the execut	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board mem-
	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors at the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management com-	nd the execut	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evalua-
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evalua-	nd the execut	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.
	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board	nd the execut We comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of mem-	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board mem-
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Bluation of the performance of the board of directors at The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a con-	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general
3.5.1.	Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the oppor-	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination com-
3.5.1.	Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the oppor-	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers whether the activities and development plans of the
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the oppor-	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers whether the activities and development plans of the Company will require a change in the number of
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the oppor-	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers whether the activities and development plans of the Company will require a change in the number of Board members. Recommendations from the nomina-
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the oppor-	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers whether the activities and development plans of the Company will require a change in the number of Board members. Recommendations from the nomination committee are presented to and discussed by the
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors as the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the oppor-	we comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers whether the activities and development plans of the Company will require a change in the number of Board members. Recommendations from the nomination committee are presented to and discussed by the Board of Directors before being proposed to the gen-
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors are The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the opportunity to participate actively.	We comply  We comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers whether the activities and development plans of the Company will require a change in the number of Board members. Recommendations from the nomination committee are presented to and discussed by the Board of Directors before being proposed to the general meeting.
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors are the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the opportunity to participate actively.	We comply  We comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers whether the activities and development plans of the Company will require a change in the number of Board members. Recommendations from the nomination committee are presented to and discussed by the Board of Directors before being proposed to the general meeting.  In consultation with the other Board members, the
3.5.2.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors are the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the opportunity to participate actively.  The Committee recommends that at least once every year the board of directors evaluate the work	We comply  We comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers whether the activities and development plans of the Company will require a change in the number of Board members. Recommendations from the nomination committee are presented to and discussed by the Board of Directors before being proposed to the general meeting.  In consultation with the other Board members, the Chairman of the Board evaluates once a year the work
3.5.2.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors are The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the opportunity to participate actively.  The Committee recommends that at least once every year the board of directors evaluate the work and performance of the executive board in accord-	We comply  We comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers whether the activities and development plans of the Company will require a change in the number of Board members. Recommendations from the nomination committee are presented to and discussed by the Board of Directors before being proposed to the general meeting.  In consultation with the other Board members, the
3.5.1.	advisers as the executive board of the company.  Aluation of the performance of the board of directors are the Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.  The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members are given the opportunity to participate actively.  The Committee recommends that at least once every year the board of directors evaluate the work	We comply  We comply	the same external advisers, should such a situation occur.  ive board  The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation procedure.  The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. The Board members consider this evaluation satisfactory. The evaluation procedure is described on our website.  Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.  The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee currently and at least once a year considers whether the activities and development plans of the Company will require a change in the number of Board members. Recommendations from the nomination committee are presented to and discussed by the Board of Directors before being proposed to the general meeting.  In consultation with the other Board members, the Chairman of the Board evaluates once a year the work

3.5.4.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the executive board and the board of directors establish a procedure according to which their cooperation is evaluated annually through a formalized dialogue between the chairman of the board of directors and the chief executive officer and that the outcome of the evaluation be presented to the board of directors.	We comply	Once a year, the Chairman of the Board conducts an evaluation interview with the CEO. The cooperation between the Executive Board and the Board of Directors is also reviewed and commented in the annual evaluation procedure, cf. 3.5.1.
4. Ren	nuneration of management		
4.1. For	m and content of the remuneration policy		
4.1.1.	<ul> <li>The Committee recommends that the board of directors prepare a clear and transparent remuneration policy for the board of directors and the executive board, including</li> <li>a detailed description of the components of the remuneration for members of the board of directors and the executive board,</li> <li>the reasons for choosing the individual components of the remuneration, and</li> <li>a description of the criteria on which the balance between the individual components of the remuneration is based.</li> <li>The remuneration policy should be approved by the</li> </ul>	We comply	The Board of Directors has adopted a very simple and transparent remuneration policy applicable to the Board of Directors and to the Executive Board. The policy is explained on the Company's website and is mentioned by the Chairman in his report at general meetings. Any future changes in the remuneration policy will be presented at the general meeting for adoption.
	general meeting and published on the company's website.		
4.1.2.	<ul> <li>The Committee recommends that, if the remuneration policy includes variable components,</li> <li>limits be set on the variable components of the total remuneration package,</li> <li>a reasonable and balanced linkage be ensured between remuneration for governing body members, expected risks and the value creation for shareholders in the short and long terms,</li> <li>there be clarity about performance criteria and measurability for award of variable components,</li> <li>there be criteria ensuring that qualifying periods for variable components in remuneration agreements are longer than one calendar year, and</li> <li>an agreement is made which, in exceptional cases, entitles the company to reclaim in full or in part variable components of remuneration that were paid on the basis of data, which proved to be misstated.</li> </ul>	Not relevant	Remuneration of the Board of Directors and Executive Board has for many years been based solely on fixed fees for which reason this recommendation is not relevant at present.  At the annual general meeting in April 2016, i.e. after publication of this report, the Board of Directors will propose the adoption of new guidelines for incentive pay, allowing agreements on incentive pay for the executive management.
4.1.3.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that remuneration of members of the board of directors does not include share options.	We comply	We have no incentive pay or bonus schemes covered by section 139 of the Danish Companies Act, includ- ing share option schemes or similar arrangements for the Board of Directors.
4.1.4.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that <b>if share-based remuneration is provided,</b> such programmes be established as roll-over programmes, i.e. the options are granted periodically and should have a maturity of at least three years from the date of allocation.	Not rele- vant	Remuneration of the Board of Directors and Executive Board has for many years been based solely on fixed fees for which reason this recommendation is not relevant at present. See also 4.1.2.
4.1.5.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that agreements on termination payments should not amount to more than two years' annual remuneration.	We do not comply	If the Company terminates the employment of the President & CEO, a notice period of 2.5 years has been agreed upon.  For the other members of the Executive Board, the severance payment will not exceed two years' salary.

4.2. Di	sclosure of the remuneration policy		
4.2.1.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company's remuneration policy and compliance with this policy be explained and justified annually in the chairman's statement at the company's general meeting.	We comply	The Company's remuneration policy and compliance with such policy will be explained in the Chairman's report at the annual general meeting.
4.2.2.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the proposed remuneration for the board of directors for the current financial year be approved by the shareholders at the general meeting.	We comply	A proposal in respect of remuneration to be paid to the Board of Directors for the current financial year is presented to the shareholders at the general meeting for adoption.
4.2.3.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained.	We comply	Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and severance schemes.
5. Fin	ancial reporting, risk management and audit	S	
5.1. lde	entification of risks and transparency about other relev	ant informati	on
5.1.1.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of directors in the management commentary review and account for the most important strategic and business-related risks, risks in connection with the financial reporting as well as for the company's risk management.	We comply	The Board of Directors will consider essential strategic and business risks as well as risks in respect of the presentation of accounts and the Company's activities and results. Through dialogue with the Executive Board and the Company's auditors, the Board of Directors will get a good insight into the Company's risk profile. Furthermore, the Board of Directors is currently updated on market and business changes. The Company's risk management activities are outlined in our annual report.
_	histleblower scheme		
5.2.1.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of directors decide whether to establish a whistleblower scheme for expedient and confidential notification of possible or suspected wrongdoing.	We comply	Once a year, the Board of Directors reviews the need for setting up a whistleblower scheme.  We have not yet set up a proper whistleblower scheme but instead guidelines as to how staff may contact the Group's in-house legal advisers with information.
5.3. Co	ntact to auditor		
5.3.1.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the board of directors ensure regular dialogue and exchange of information between the auditor and the board of directors, including that the board of directors and the audit committee at least once a year meet with the auditor without the executive board present. This also applies to the internal auditor, if any.	We comply	The Board of Directors and our auditors meet at least twice a year to maintain a dialogue and exchange information. Once a year, at the meeting where the financial statements are approved, the auditors and the Board of Directors also meet without the the presence of the Executive Board.
5.3.2.	The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the audit agreement and auditors' fee be agreed between the board of directors and the auditor on the basis of a recommendation from the audit committee.	We comply	Prior to start-up of the auditing process, the auditors' remuneration and the audit agreement are agreed upon with the auditors. The auditors' remuneration is subject to approval by the audit committee and the Board of Directors.

1. marts 2016